

GEOMETRIC CONSTRUCTION OF HIGHEST WEIGHT CRYSTALS FOR QUANTUM GENERALIZED KAC-MOODY ALGEBRAS

SEOK-JIN KANG¹, MASAKI KASHIWARA², OLIVIER SCHIFFMANN

ABSTRACT. We present a geometric construction of highest weight crystals $B(\lambda)$ for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras. It is given in terms of the irreducible components of certain Lagrangian subvarieties of Nakajima's quiver varieties associated to quivers with edge loops.

INTRODUCTION

The 1990's saw a great deal of interesting interplay between the geometry of quiver varieties and the representation theory of quantum groups. One of the most exciting developments in this direction may be Lusztig's geometric construction of *canonical bases*. For a Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, he constructed a natural basis $\mathbf{B}$ of the negative part of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ in terms of simple perverse sheaves on quiver varieties [8]. The basis $\mathbf{B}$ yields all other canonical bases of integrable highest weight modules through natural projections.

Around the same time, Kashiwara took an algebraic approach to construct *global bases* and showed how to obtain, by passing to the crystal limit $q = 0$, *crystals bases* which contain most of the combinatorial information on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and their integrable highest weight representations [6]. We denote by $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$ the crystal bases of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ and $V(\lambda)$, respectively. It later turned out that canonical bases and global bases coincide [2].

In [7], Kashiwara and Saito gave a geometric construction of $B(\infty)$: the crystal $B(\infty)$ can be identified with the set of irreducible components of Lusztig's nilpotent quiver varieties which are certain Lagrangian subvarieties of the cotangent space to the

¹This research was supported by KRF Grant # 2007-341-C00001.

²This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 18340007, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

representation varieties of a quiver. This work was generalized by Saito to a geometric construction of $B(\lambda)$ using Nakajima's quiver varieties [10].

For generalized Kac-Moody algebras, which were introduced by Borchers in his study of Monstrous Moonshine [1], the crystal basis theory was developed in [3] and it was proved that there exist unique crystal bases $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$ for $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ and $V(\lambda)$, respectively. In [4], the notion of *abstract crystals* was put forward and the authors gave some combinatorial characterizations of $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$. In [5], we gave a geometric construction of $B(\infty)$ for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras in terms of irreducible components of Lusztig's quiver varieties, associated this time to quivers which may have loop edges. The main difficulty of this work lies in that typical simple objects sitting at a vertex with loops may have non-vanishing self extensions. This difficulty was overcome by requiring that certain arrows are regular semisimple.

In this article, we continue to investigate the deep connection between the geometry of quiver varieties and the representation theory of quantum groups. In particular, we present a geometric construction of highest weight crystals $B(\lambda)$ for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras. We first define certain Lagrangian subvarieties of Nakajima's quiver varieties by imposing stability conditions on Lusztig's quiver varieties, and consider the set $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ of irreducible components of these Lagrangian subvarieties. We then define the Kashiwara operators on $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ using generic fibrations between irreducible components so that $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ becomes an abstract crystal. Finally, we show that $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ satisfies all the properties characterizing $B(\lambda)$, from which we conclude that the crystal $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ is isomorphic to $B(\lambda)$.

1. THE CRYSTAL $B(\lambda)$

In this section, we recall the definition and basic properties of quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras, integrable highest weight modules and their crystals. Let I be a finite or countably infinite index set. A *symmetric even integral Borchers-Cartan matrix* is a square matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ such that (i) $a_{ii} \in \{2, 0, -2, -4, \dots\}$ for all $i \in I$, (ii) $a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\leq 0}$ for $i \neq j$. Let $I^{\text{re}} = \{i \in I; a_{ii} = 2\}$ and $I^{\text{im}} = \{i \in I; a_{ii} \leq 0\}$ and call them the set of *real* indices and the set of *imaginary* indices, respectively.

A *Borchers-Cartan datum* $(A, P, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ consists of

- (i) a Borchers-Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$,

- (ii) a free abelian group P , the *weight lattice*,
- (iii) $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \in P; i \in I\}$, the set of *simple roots*,
- (iv) $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i; i \in I\} \subset P^\vee := \text{Hom}(P, \mathbf{Z})$, the set of *simple coroots*

satisfying the following properties:

- (a) $\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle = a_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in I$,
- (b) Π is linearly independent,
- (c) for any $i \in I$, there exists $\Lambda_i \in P$ such that $\langle h_j, \Lambda_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for all $j \in I$.

We denote by $P^+ = \{\lambda \in P; \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$ the set of *dominant integral weights*. We also use the notation $Q = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{Z}\alpha_i$ and $Q_+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$.

Let q be an indeterminate. For $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$, define

$$[n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad [n]! = \prod_{k=1}^n [k], \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[m]!}{[n]![m-n]!}.$$

The *quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebra* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a Borchers-Cartan datum $(A, P, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ is defined to be the associated algebra over $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ with 1 generated by the elements e_i, f_i ($i \in I$), q^h ($h \in P^\vee$) subject to the defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (1.1) \quad & q^0 = 1, \quad q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'} \quad \text{for } h, h' \in P^\vee, \\ & q^h e_i q^{-h} = q^{\alpha_i(h)} e_i, \quad q^h f_i q^{-h} = q^{-\alpha_i(h)} f_i \quad \text{for } h \in P^\vee, i \in I, \\ & e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad \text{for } i, j \in I, \text{ where } K_i = q^{h_i}, \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix} e_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} e_j e_i^k = 0 \quad \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}} \text{ and } i \neq j, \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix} f_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} f_j f_i^k = 0 \quad \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}} \text{ and } i \neq j, \\ & e_i e_j - e_j e_i = f_i f_j - f_j f_i = 0 \quad \text{if } a_{ij} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$) the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the e_i 's (resp. the f_i 's).

The following notion of *abstract crystals* for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras was introduced in [4].

Definition 1.1. An *abstract* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -*crystal* or simply a *crystal* is a set B together with the maps $\text{wt}: B \rightarrow P$, $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i: B \rightarrow B \sqcup \{0\}$ and $\varepsilon_i, \varphi_i: B \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}$ ($i \in I$) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\text{wt}(\tilde{e}_i b) = \text{wt } b + \alpha_i$ if $i \in I$ and $\tilde{e}_i b \neq 0$,
- (ii) $\text{wt}(\tilde{f}_i b) = \text{wt } b - \alpha_i$ if $i \in I$ and $\tilde{f}_i b \neq 0$,
- (iii) for any $i \in I$ and $b \in B$, $\varphi_i(b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + \langle h_i, \text{wt } b \rangle$,
- (iv) for any $i \in I$ and $b, b' \in B$, $\tilde{f}_i b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_i b'$,
- (v) for any $i \in I$ and $b \in B$ such that $\tilde{e}_i b \neq 0$, we have
 - (a) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) - 1$, $\varphi_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) + 1$ if $i \in I^{\text{re}}$,
 - (b) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b)$, $\varphi_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) + a_{ii}$ if $i \in I^{\text{im}}$,
- (vi) for any $i \in I$ and $b \in B$ such that $\tilde{f}_i b \neq 0$, we have
 - (a) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + 1$, $\varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) - 1$ if $i \in I^{\text{re}}$,
 - (b) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b)$, $\varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) - a_{ii}$ if $i \in I^{\text{im}}$,
- (vii) for any $i \in I$ and $b \in B$ such that $\varphi_i(b) = -\infty$, we have $\tilde{e}_i b = \tilde{f}_i b = 0$.

We will often use the notation $\text{wt}_i(b) = \langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle$ ($i \in I, b \in B$).

Definition 1.2. Let B_1 and B_2 be crystals.

- (a) A map $\psi: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ is a *crystal morphism* if it satisfies the following properties:
 - (i) for $b \in B_1$, we have

$$\text{wt}(\psi(b)) = \text{wt}(b), \varepsilon_i(\psi(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b), \varphi_i(\psi(b)) = \varphi_i(b) \text{ for all } i \in I,$$

- (ii) for $b \in B_1$ and $i \in I$ with $\tilde{f}_i b \in B_1$, we have $\psi(\tilde{f}_i b) = \tilde{f}_i \psi(b)$.
- (b) A crystal morphism $\psi: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ is called *strict* if

$$\psi(\tilde{e}_i b) = \tilde{e}_i \psi(b), \psi(\tilde{f}_i b) = \tilde{f}_i \psi(b) \text{ for all } i \in I \text{ and } b \in B_1.$$

Here, we understand $\psi(0) = 0$.

- (c) ψ is called an *embedding* if the underlying map $\psi: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ is injective.

For a pair of crystals B_1 and B_2 , their *tensor product* is defined to be the set

$$B_1 \otimes B_2 = \{b_1 \otimes b_2; b_1 \in B_1, b_2 \in B_2\},$$

where the crystal structure is defined as follows: The maps $\text{wt}, \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(b \otimes b') &= \text{wt}(b) + \text{wt}(b'), \\ \varepsilon_i(b \otimes b') &= \max(\varepsilon_i(b), \varepsilon_i(b') - \text{wt}_i(b)), \\ \varphi_i(b \otimes b') &= \max(\varphi_i(b) + \text{wt}_i(b'), \varphi_i(b')). \end{aligned}$$

For $i \in I$, we define

$$\tilde{f}_i(b \otimes b') = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_i b \otimes b' & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) > \varepsilon_i(b'), \\ b \otimes \tilde{f}_i b' & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) \leq \varepsilon_i(b'), \end{cases}$$

For $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, we define

$$\tilde{e}_i(b \otimes b') = \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i b \otimes b' & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) \geq \varepsilon_i(b'), \\ b \otimes \tilde{e}_i b' & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) < \varepsilon_i(b'), \end{cases}$$

and, for $i \in I^{\text{im}}$, we define

$$\tilde{e}_i(b \otimes b') = \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i b \otimes b' & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) > \varepsilon_i(b') - a_{ii}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon_i(b') < \varphi_i(b) \leq \varepsilon_i(b') - a_{ii}, \\ b \otimes \tilde{e}_i b' & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) \leq \varepsilon_i(b'). \end{cases}$$

Example 1.3. Let $V(\lambda)$ be the irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with highest weight $\lambda \in P^+$. For any $i \in I$, every $v \in V(\lambda)$ has a unique *i-string decomposition*

$$v = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_i^{(k)} u_k, \quad \text{where } e_i u_k = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 0,$$

and

$$f_i^{(k)} := \begin{cases} f_i^k / [k]! & \text{if } i \text{ is real,} \\ f_i^k & \text{if } i \text{ is imaginary.} \end{cases}$$

The *Kashiwara operators* $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i$ ($i \in I$) are defined by

$$\tilde{e}_i v = \sum_{k \geq 1} f_i^{(k-1)} u_k, \quad \tilde{f}_i v = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_i^{(k+1)} u_k.$$

Let $\mathbf{A}_0 = \{f/g \in \mathbf{Q}(q) ; f, g \in \mathbf{Q}[q], g(0) \neq 0\}$ and let $L(\lambda)$ be the free $\mathbf{A}_0$ -submodule of $V(\lambda)$ generated by

$$\left\{ \tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} v_\lambda ; r \geq 0, i_k \in I \right\},$$

where v_λ is the highest weight vector of $V(\lambda)$. Then the set

$$B(\lambda) = \left\{ \tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} v_\lambda + qL(\lambda) ; r \geq 0, i_k \in I \right\} \setminus \{0\} \subset L(\lambda)/qL(\lambda)$$

becomes a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal with the maps $\text{wt}, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i, \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i$ ($i \in I$) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(b) &= \lambda - (\alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_r}) \quad \text{for } b = \tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} v_\lambda + qL(\lambda), \\ \varepsilon_i(b) &= \begin{cases} \max \{k \geq 0 ; \tilde{e}_i^k b \neq 0\} & \text{for } i \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ 0 & \text{for } i \in I^{\text{im}}, \end{cases} \\ \varphi_i(b) &= \varepsilon_i(b) + \text{wt}_i(b) \quad (i \in I). \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.4. For each $i \in I$, we define the endomorphisms $e'_i, e''_i : U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$e_i u - u e_i = \frac{K_i e''_i(u) - K_i^{-1} e'_i(u)}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad \text{for } u \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Then every $u \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ has a unique *i-string decomposition*

$$u = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_i^{(k)} u_k, \quad \text{where } e'_i u_k = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 0.$$

The *Kashiwara operators* $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i$ ($i \in I$) are defined by

$$\tilde{e}_i u = \sum_{k \geq 1} f_i^{(k-1)} u_k, \quad \tilde{f}_i u = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_i^{(k+1)} u_k.$$

Let $L(\infty)$ be the free $\mathbf{A}_0$ -submodule of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by

$$\left\{ \tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} \mathbf{1} ; r \geq 0, i_k \in I \right\},$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the multiplicative identity in $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$. Then the set

$$B(\infty) = \left\{ \tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} \mathbf{1} + qL(\infty) ; r \geq 0, i_k \in I \right\} \subset L(\infty)/qL(\infty)$$

becomes a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal with the maps $\text{wt}, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i, \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i$ ($i \in I$) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(b) &= -(\alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_r}) \quad \text{for } b = \tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} \mathbf{1} + qL(\infty), \\ \varepsilon_i(b) &= \begin{cases} \max \{k \geq 0 ; \tilde{e}_i^k b \neq 0\} & \text{for } i \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ 0 & \text{for } i \in I^{\text{im}}, \end{cases} \\ \varphi_i(b) &= \varepsilon_i(b) + \text{wt}_i(b) \quad (i \in I). \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.5. For $\lambda \in P$, let $T_\lambda = \{t_\lambda\}$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(t_\lambda) &= \lambda, \quad \tilde{e}_i t_\lambda = \tilde{f}_i t_\lambda = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in I, \\ \varepsilon_i(t_\lambda) &= \varphi_i(t_\lambda) = -\infty \quad \text{for all } i \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Then T_λ is a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal.

Example 1.6. Let $C = \{c\}$ be the crystal with $\text{wt}(c) = 0$ and $\varepsilon_i(c) = \varphi_i(c) = 0$, $\tilde{f}_i c = \tilde{e}_i c = 0$ for any $i \in I$. Then C is a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal isomorphic to $B(0)$. For a crystal B , $b \in B$ and $i \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(b \otimes c) &= \text{wt}(b), \\ \varepsilon_i(b \otimes c) &= \max(\varepsilon_i(b), -\text{wt}_i b), \\ \varphi_i(b \otimes c) &= \max(\varphi_i(b), 0), \\ \tilde{e}_i(b \otimes c) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i b \otimes c & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) \geq 0 \text{ and } i \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ \tilde{e}_i b \otimes c & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) + a_{ii} > 0 \text{ and } i \in I^{\text{im}}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \tilde{f}_i(b \otimes c) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_i b \otimes c & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In general, $B \otimes C$ is *not* isomorphic to B .

The crystal $B(\lambda)$ can be characterized as follows.

Proposition 1.7 ([4]). *Let $\lambda \in P^+$ be a dominant integral weight. Then $B(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the connected component of $B(\infty) \otimes T_\lambda \otimes C$ containing $\mathbf{1} \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c$.*

2. LUSZTIG'S QUIVER VARIETY

Let (I, H) be a quiver. For an arrow $h: i \rightarrow j$ in H , we write $\text{out}(h) = i$, $\text{in}(h) = j$ and assume that we have an involution $-$ of H such that $\text{out}(\bar{h}) = \text{in}(h)$ for any $h \in H$ and that $-$ has no fixed point. An *orientation* of H is a subset Ω of H such that $H = \Omega \sqcup \bar{\Omega}$. We say that h is a *loop* if $\text{out}(h) = \text{in}(h)$. We denote by H^{loop} the set of all loops and set $\Omega^{\text{loop}} = \Omega \cap H^{\text{loop}}$.

Let c_{ij} denote the number of arrows in H from i to j , and define

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 - c_{ii} = 2 - (\text{the number of loops at } i \text{ in } H) & \text{if } i = j, \\ -c_{ij} = -(\text{the number of arrows in } H \text{ from } i \text{ to } j) & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Then $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ becomes a symmetric even integral Borcherds-Cartan matrix.

For $\alpha \in Q_+$, let $V = V(\alpha) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ be an I -graded vector space with

$$\underline{\dim} V(\alpha) := \sum_{i \in I} (\dim V_i) \alpha_i = \alpha,$$

and let

$$X(\alpha) = \bigoplus_{h \in H} \text{Hom}(V_{\text{out}(h)}, V_{\text{in}(h)}).$$

The group $GL(\alpha) := \prod_{i \in I} GL(V_i)$ acts on $X(\alpha)$ via

$$g \cdot x = (g_{\text{in}(h)} x_h g_{\text{out}(h)}^{-1})_{h \in H} \quad \text{for } g = (g_i) \in GL(\alpha), \quad x = (x_h) \in X(\alpha).$$

The symplectic form ω on $X(\alpha)$ and the *moment map* $\mu = (\mu_i: X(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V_i))_{i \in I}$ are given by

$$\omega(x, y) = \sum_h \epsilon(h) \text{Tr}(x_h \bar{y}_h),$$

$$\mu_i(x) = \sum_{\substack{h \in H \\ \text{out}(h)=i}} \epsilon(h) x_h \bar{x}_h,$$

where

$$\epsilon(h) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h \in \Omega, \\ -1 & \text{if } h \in \bar{\Omega}. \end{cases}$$

We define *Lusztig's quiver variety* $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ to be the variety consisting of all $x = (x_h)_{h \in H} \in X(\alpha)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\mu_i(x) = 0$ for all $i \in I$,
- (ii) there exists an I -graded complete flag $F = (F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots)$ such that

$$x_h(F_k) \subset F_k \quad \text{for all } h \in \bar{\Omega}^{\text{loop}}, \quad x_h(F_k) \subset F_{k-1} \quad \text{for all } h \in H \setminus \bar{\Omega}^{\text{loop}},$$

- (iii) x_h is regular semisimple for all $h \in \bar{\Omega}^{\text{loop}}$.

We denote by $\text{Irr } \mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ the set of irreducible components of $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$.

Fix $i \in I$ and let t be the number of loops at i in Ω . Write $\Omega_i^{\text{loop}} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t\}$. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_t, y_1, \dots, y_t \rangle$ be the free unital associative algebra generated by x_i, y_i ($i = 1, \dots, t$). For $x = (x_h)_{h \in H} \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ and $f \in \mathcal{R}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(x_{\sigma_1}, \dots, x_{\sigma_t}, x_{\overline{\sigma_1}}, \dots, x_{\overline{\sigma_t}}) \in \text{End}(V_i), \\ \mathbf{C}\langle x \rangle_i &= \{f(x); f \in \mathcal{R}\} \subset \text{End}(V_i), \\ \varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(x) &= \text{codim}_{V_i} \left(\mathbf{C}\langle x \rangle_i \cdot \sum_{\substack{h: j \rightarrow i \\ j \neq i}} \text{Im } x_h \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}$ is a semicontinuous function, it takes a constant value $\varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda)$ on an open dense subset of any irreducible component Λ of $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$. Note that we shall see later that $\varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) = \max \{n \geq 0; \tilde{e}_i^n(\Lambda) \neq 0\}$. We set

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{N}(\alpha)_{i,l} = \{x \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha); \varepsilon_i^{\text{or}} = l \text{ on a neighborhood of } x \text{ in } \mathcal{N}(\alpha)\}.$$

Then $\cup_{l \geq 0} \mathcal{N}(\alpha)_{i,l}$ is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$. It is shown in [5] that if $\Lambda \in \text{Irr } \mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ and $\varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) = 0$ for all $i \in I$, then $\alpha = 0$ and $\Lambda = \{0\}$.

For each $\alpha \in Q_+$ and $l \geq 0$, let

$$\begin{aligned} E(\alpha, l\alpha_i) &= \{(x, x', x'', \phi', \phi''); x \in X(\alpha + l\alpha_i), x' \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha), x'' \in \mathcal{N}(l\alpha_i), \\ &0 \longrightarrow V(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\phi'} V(\alpha + l\alpha_i) \xrightarrow{\phi''} V(l\alpha_i) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ is exact,} \\ &\phi' \circ x' = x \circ \phi', \phi'' \circ x = x'' \circ \phi''\} \end{aligned}$$

and consider the canonical projections

$$(2.2) \quad X(\alpha) \times X(l\alpha_i) \xleftarrow{p_1} E(\alpha, l\alpha_i) \xrightarrow{p_2} X(\alpha + l\alpha_i)$$

given by

$$(x', x'') \leftarrow (x, x', x'', \phi', \phi'') \mapsto x.$$

Let $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, l\alpha_i) = p_2^{-1}(\mathcal{N}(\alpha + l\alpha_i))$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(\alpha) \times^{\text{reg}} \mathcal{N}(l\alpha_i) &= \{(x', x'') \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha) \times \mathcal{N}(l\alpha_i); \\ &x'_h \text{ and } x''_h \text{ have disjoint spectra for all } h \in \overline{\Omega}_i^{\text{loop}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By restricting (2.2) to $\mathcal{N}(\alpha, l\alpha_i)_{i,l} := p_2^{-1}(\mathcal{N}(\alpha + l\alpha_i)_{i,l})$, we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{N}(\alpha)_{i,0} \times^{\text{reg}} \mathcal{N}(l\alpha_i) \xleftarrow{p_1} \mathcal{N}(\alpha, l\alpha_i)_{i,l} \xrightarrow{p_2} \mathcal{N}(\alpha + l\alpha_i)_{i,l}.$$

Proposition 2.1 ([5]). (a) *The map p_2 in (2.3) is a $GL(\alpha) \times GL(l\alpha_i)$ -principal bundle.*
(b) *The map p_1 in (2.3) factors as*

$$\mathcal{N}(\alpha, l\alpha_i)_{i,l} \xrightarrow{p'_1} (\mathcal{N}(\alpha)_{i,0} \times^{\text{reg}} \mathcal{N}(l\alpha_i)) \times Z(\alpha, l\alpha_i) \xrightarrow{p''_1} \mathcal{N}(\alpha)_{i,0} \times^{\text{reg}} \mathcal{N}(l\alpha_i),$$

where $Z(\alpha, l\alpha_i)$ is the set of short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow V(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\phi'} V(\alpha + l\alpha_i) \xrightarrow{\phi''} V(l\alpha_i) \longrightarrow 0,$$

p''_1 is the natural projection and p'_1 is an affine fibration.

Corollary 2.2 ([5]). (a) *For each $\alpha \in Q_+$, $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ is a Lagrangian subvariety of $X(\alpha)$.*
(b) *There is a 1-1 correspondence between the set of irreducible components Λ of $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ satisfying $\varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) = l$ and those of $\mathcal{N}(\alpha - l\alpha_i)$ satisfying $\varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda') = 0$.*

We denote this 1-1 correspondence by $\Lambda \mapsto \tilde{e}_i^l(\Lambda)$. Set $\mathcal{B} = \coprod_{\alpha \in Q_+} \text{Irr } \mathcal{N}(\alpha)$. Define the maps $\text{wt} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow -Q_+ \subset P$, $\varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(\Lambda) &= -\alpha \quad \text{for } \Lambda \in \text{Irr } \mathcal{N}(\alpha), \\ \varepsilon_i(\Lambda) &= \begin{cases} \varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{im}}, \end{cases} \\ \varphi_i(\Lambda) &= \langle h_i, \text{wt}(\Lambda) \rangle + \varepsilon_i(\Lambda), \\ \tilde{e}_i(\Lambda) &= \begin{cases} (\tilde{e}_i^{l-1})^{-1} \circ \tilde{e}_i^l(\Lambda) & \text{if } \varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) = l > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) = 0, \end{cases} \\ \tilde{f}_i(\Lambda) &= (\tilde{e}_i^{l+1})^{-1} \circ \tilde{e}_i^l(\Lambda) \quad \text{if } \varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) = l. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Theorem 2.3 ([5]). *The set $\mathcal{B}$ is a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal which is isomorphic to $B(\infty)$.*

3. NAKAJIMA'S QUIVER VARIETY

Let $\lambda \in P^+$ be a dominant integral weight and let $W = W(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} W_i$ be an I -graded vector space with $\underline{\text{wt}}(W) := \sum_{i \in I} (\dim W_i) \Lambda_i = \lambda$. For each $\alpha \in Q_+$, define

$$X(\lambda; \alpha) = X(\alpha) \oplus \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V(\alpha), W) \oplus \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(W, V(\alpha)),$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}(V(\alpha), W) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}(V_i, W_i)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}(W, V(\alpha)) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}(W_i, V_i)$. A typical element of $X(\lambda; \alpha)$ will be denoted by $(x, t, s) = ((x_h)_{h \in H}, (t_i)_{i \in I}, (s_i)_{i \in I})$. The group $GL(\alpha)$ acts on $X(\lambda; \alpha)$ via

$$g \cdot (x, t, s) = ((g_{\mathrm{in}(h)} x_h g_{\mathrm{out}(h)}^{-1})_{h \in H}, (t_i g_i^{-1})_{i \in I}, (g_i s_i)_{i \in I}).$$

The symplectic form ω on $X(\lambda; \alpha)$ and the moment map $\mu = (\mu_i : X(\lambda; \alpha) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V_i))_{i \in I}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega((x, t, s), (x', t', s')) &= \sum_{h \in H} \epsilon(h) \mathrm{Tr}(x_{\bar{h}} x'_h) + \sum_{i \in I} \mathrm{Tr}(s_i t'_i - s'_i t_i), \\ \mu_i(x, t, s) &= \sum_{\substack{h \in H \\ \mathrm{out}(h)=i}} \epsilon(h) x_{\bar{h}} x_h + s_i t_i. \end{aligned}$$

For $x = (x_h)_{h \in H} \in X(\alpha)$, an I -graded subspace $U = \bigoplus_{i \in I} U_i$ of $V(\alpha)$ is said to be x -stable if $x_h(U_{\mathrm{out}(h)}) \subset U_{\mathrm{in}(h)}$ for all $h \in H$.

Definition 3.1. A point $(x, t, s) \in X(\lambda; \alpha)$ is *stable* if there is no nonzero I -graded x -stable subspace $U = \bigoplus_{i \in I} U_i$ of $V(\alpha)$ such that $t_i(U_i) = 0$ for all $i \in I$.

Let $X(\lambda; \alpha)^{\mathrm{st}}$ denote the set of all stable points in $X(\lambda; \alpha)$. Then the group $GL(\alpha)$ acts freely on $X(\lambda; \alpha)^{\mathrm{st}}$ (indeed, if (x, t, s) is stable and $\mathrm{Id} \neq g \in GL(\alpha)$ satisfies $g \cdot (x, t, s) = (x, t, s)$ then the subspace $\bigoplus_i \mathrm{Im}(g_i - \mathrm{Id})$ violates the stability condition, see [9]). We define *Nakajima's quiver variety* to be

$$\mathfrak{X}(\lambda; \alpha) = \mu^{-1}(0) \cap X(\lambda; \alpha)^{\mathrm{st}} / GL(\alpha).$$

It is known to be a smooth variety with a symplectic structure induced by ω . We also set $\mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha) = (\mathcal{N}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}(V(\alpha), W))^{\mathrm{st}}$ and

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha) = \mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha) / GL(\alpha).$$

The definition of the subvariety $\mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha)$ is different from the one given in [9] (for quivers without edge loops), but it yields the same variety (see [9], Lemma 5.9).

Proposition 3.2. *For each $\alpha \in Q_+$, $\mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha)$ is a closed Lagrangian subvariety of $\mathfrak{X}(\lambda; \alpha)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.2, $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ is a Lagrangian subvariety of $X(\alpha)$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}(V, W)$ is clearly a Lagrangian subvariety of $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}(V, W) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}(W, V)$, $\mathcal{N}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}(V, W)$ is a Lagrangian subvariety of $X(\lambda; \alpha)$, which implies $(\mathcal{N}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{gr}}(V, W))^{\mathrm{st}}$ is a

Lagrangian subvariety of $X(\lambda; \alpha)^{\text{st}}$. Since $\mathcal{N}(\alpha) \times \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V, W) \subset \mu^{-1}(0)$, by symplectic reduction, $\mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha)$ is a Lagrangian subvariety of $\mathfrak{X}(\lambda; \alpha)$. $\square$

For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_+$ and $l \geq 0$, let

$$\begin{aligned} E(\lambda; \alpha, l\alpha_i) = \{ & (x, x', x'', t, t', \phi', \phi'') ; x \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha + l\alpha_i), x' \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha), x'' \in \mathcal{N}(l\alpha_i), \\ & t \in \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V(\alpha + l\alpha_i), W), t' \in \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V(\alpha), W), \\ & 0 \longrightarrow V(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\phi'} V(\alpha + l\alpha_i) \xrightarrow{\phi''} V(l\alpha_i) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ is exact,} \\ & \phi' \circ x' = x \circ \phi', \phi'' \circ x = x'' \circ \phi'', t' = t \circ \phi' \} \end{aligned}$$

and consider the canonical projections

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E(\lambda; \alpha, l\alpha_i) & \xrightarrow{q_2} & \mathcal{N}(\alpha + l\alpha_i) \times \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V(\alpha + l\alpha_i), W) \\ \downarrow q_1 & & \\ \mathcal{N}(\alpha) \times \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V(\alpha), W) \times \mathcal{N}(l\alpha_i) & & \end{array}$$

given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (x, x', x'', t, t', \phi', \phi'') & \longmapsto & (x, t) \\ \downarrow & & \\ (x', t', x'') & & \end{array}$$

It is easy to show that if (x, t) is stable, then (x', t') is also stable.

Define a function $\varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}$ on $\mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha)$ by $\varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(x, t) = \varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(x)$. Note that this function is invariant under $GL(\alpha)$ and hence descends to $\mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha)_{i,l} &= \{(x, t) \in \mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha) ; \varepsilon_i^{\text{or}} = l \text{ on a neighborhood of } (x, t)\} \\ &= (\mathcal{N}(\alpha)_{i,l} \times \text{Hom}(V(\alpha), W)) \cap X(\lambda; \alpha)^{\text{st}}, \end{aligned}$$

and let

$$\mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha) \times^{\text{reg}} \mathcal{N}(l\alpha_i) = \{(x', t', x'') \in \mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha) \times \mathcal{N}(l\alpha_i) ; (x', x'') \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha) \times^{\text{reg}} \mathcal{N}(l\alpha_i)\}.$$

By restricting (3.1) to $\mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha, l\alpha_i)_{i,l} := q_2^{-1}(\mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha + l\alpha_i)_{i,l})$, we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha)_{i,0} \times^{\text{reg}} \mathcal{N}(l\alpha_i) \xleftarrow{q_1} \mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha, l\alpha_i)_{i,l} \xrightarrow{q_2} \mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha + l\alpha_i)_{i,l}.$$

Proposition 3.3.

(i) *The map q_2 in (3.2) is a $GL(\alpha) \times GL(l\alpha_i)$ -principal bundle.*

(ii) Assume the following conditions:

- (3.3) (a) if $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, then $\langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle \geq l$,
 (b) if $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ and $l > 0$, then $\langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle > 0$.

Then the map q_1 in (3.2) is smooth, locally trivial and with connected fibers.

(iii) If (3.3) is not satisfied, then $\mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha + l\alpha_i)_{i,l}$ is an empty set.

Proof. (i) For $(x, t) \in \mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha + l\alpha_i)_{i,l}$, we have $q_2^{-1}(x, t) \simeq p_2^{-1}(x)$ and our assertion follows from Proposition 2.1 (a).

(ii), (iii) Since they are similarly proved as in [10] when i is real, we shall assume that i is imaginary.

We may assume that $l > 0$. Assume first that $\langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle \leq 0$. Set $\alpha = \sum_j k_j \alpha_j \in Q_+$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle &= \langle h_i, \lambda - \sum_j k_j \alpha_j \rangle \\ &= \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle - k_i a_{ii} - \sum_{j \neq i} k_j a_{ij} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = k_i a_{ii} = k_j a_{ij} = 0$. Hence $W_i = 0$ and if there is an arrow $h: i \rightarrow j$ ($j \neq i$), then $V(\alpha + l\alpha_i)_j = 0$. Hence $V(\alpha + l\alpha_i)_i \subset \text{Ker } t$ and the stability condition implies that $V(\alpha + l\alpha_i)_i = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Now we shall assume that $\langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle > 0$. For $(x', t', x'') \in \mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha)_{i,0} \times^{\text{reg}} \mathcal{N}(l\alpha_i)$, we have

$$q_1^{-1}(x', t', x'') \simeq p_1^{-1}(x', x'') \times \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V(l\alpha_i), W).$$

Since $q_1 = p_1 \times \pi$, where

$$\pi: \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V(\alpha + l\alpha_i), W) \times \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V(\alpha), W) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V(\alpha), W)$$

is the natural projection, our assertion follows from Proposition 2.1 (b) once we prove that for a generic point $(x, x', x'', t, t', \phi', \phi'') \in E(\lambda; \alpha, l\alpha_i)$ of $q_1^{-1}(x'', t'', x'')$, then $(x, t, 0)$ is a stable point. Let U be an I -grades subspace of $V(\alpha + l\alpha_i)$ such that $t(U) = 0$. Then $U \cap V(\alpha) = 0$, and hence $U_j \cap V(\alpha + l\alpha_i)_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$. Take $h \in \overline{\Omega}_i^{\text{loop}}$. Then we have a unique x_h -invariant decomposition $V(\alpha + l\alpha_i)_i = V(\alpha)_i \oplus F$. Then U_i is contained in F . We may assume that any eigenvector of x_h in F is not annihilated by t . Hence $t_i(U_i) = 0$ implies $U_i = 0$. $\square$

As an immediate corollary, there is a 1-1 correspondence

$$\text{Irr } \mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha)_{i,l} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Irr } \mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha - l\alpha_i)_{i,0},$$

if (3.3) holds. As in [5, Cor. 3.3], we deduce by a dimension count that the irreducible components of $\mathcal{N}(\lambda, \alpha)_{i,l}$ are precisely the intersections of $\mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha)_{i,l}$ with the irreducible components Λ of $\mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha)$ satisfying $\varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) = l$. Note also that since $GL(\alpha)$ acts freely on $\mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha)$, the irreducible components of $\mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha)$ are in 1-1 correspondence with those of $\mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha)$. Hence we obtain:

Corollary 3.4. *Assume (3.3). Then there is a 1-1 correspondence between the irreducible components Λ of $\mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha + l\alpha_i)$ satisfying $\varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) = l$ and those of $\mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha)$ satisfying $\varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda') = 0$.*

We denote this 1-1 correspondence by $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda' =: \tilde{e}_i^l(\Lambda)$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Irr } \mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha) &= \text{Irr}(\mathcal{N}(\alpha) \times \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V(\alpha), W))^{\text{st}} \\ &= \{\tilde{\Lambda} \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{N}(\alpha) \times \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V(\alpha), W)); \tilde{\Lambda} \cap X(\lambda; \alpha)^{\text{st}} \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \{\Lambda_0 \times \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V(\alpha), W); \Lambda_0 \in \text{Irr } \mathcal{N}(\alpha), \\ &\quad (\Lambda_0 \times \text{Hom}^{\text{gr}}(V(\alpha), W)) \cap X(\lambda; \alpha)^{\text{st}} \neq \emptyset\}, \end{aligned}$$

which defines a map $\psi^\lambda : \text{Irr } \mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha) = \text{Irr } \mathcal{N}(\lambda; \alpha) \longrightarrow \text{Irr } \mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ given by $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda_0$. Note that $\varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) = \varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda_0)$ for all $\Lambda \in \text{Irr } \mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha)$. Hence, by the definition of ψ^λ , we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Irr } \mathcal{N}(\alpha)_{i,l} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}_i^l} & \text{Irr } \mathcal{N}(\alpha - l\alpha_i)_{i,0} \\ \psi^\lambda \uparrow & & \uparrow \psi^\lambda \\ \text{Irr } \mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha)_{i,l} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{e}_i^l} & \text{Irr } \mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha - l\alpha_i)_{i,0} \end{array}$$

4. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE ON $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$

Let $\mathcal{B}^\lambda = \coprod_{\alpha \in Q_+} \text{Irr } \mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha)$ and for $\Lambda \in \text{Irr } \mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha)$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(\Lambda) &= \lambda - \alpha, \\ \varepsilon_i(\Lambda) &= \begin{cases} \varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{im}}, \end{cases} \\ \varphi_i(\Lambda) &= \varepsilon_i(\Lambda) + \langle h_i, \text{wt}(\Lambda) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, in [10], Saito proved

$$\varphi_i(\Lambda) = \varepsilon_i(\Lambda) + \langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle \geq 0.$$

If $i \in I^{\text{im}}$, write $\alpha = \sum_j k_j \alpha_j$, then we have

$$\varphi_i(\Lambda) = \langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle = \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle - \sum_j k_j a_{ij} \geq 0.$$

We define the Kashiwara operators $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : \mathcal{B}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\lambda \sqcup \{0\}$ by

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_i(\Lambda) &= \begin{cases} (\tilde{e}_i^{l-1})^{-1} \circ \tilde{e}_i^l(\Lambda) & \text{if } \varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) = l > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) = 0, \end{cases} \\ \tilde{f}_i(\Lambda) &= \begin{cases} (\tilde{e}_i^{l+1})^{-1} \circ \tilde{e}_i^l(\Lambda) & \text{if } \varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) = l, \varphi_i(\Lambda) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \varphi_i(\Lambda) = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ is a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -crystal. Moreover, we have

Proposition 4.1.

- (a) *The crystal $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ is connected.*
- (b) *If $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ and $\langle h_i, \text{wt}(\Lambda) \rangle \leq -a_{ii}$, then $\tilde{e}_i(\Lambda) = 0$.*

Proof. (a) It suffices to show that if $\varepsilon_i^{\text{or}}(\Lambda) = 0$ for all $i \in I$, then $\alpha = 0$ and $\Lambda = \{0\}$, which was already proved in [5].

(b) If $\Lambda' := \tilde{e}_i(\Lambda) \neq 0$, then we have $\langle h_i, \text{wt}(\Lambda') \rangle = \langle h_i, \text{wt}(\Lambda) \rangle + a_{ii} \leq 0$ and hence $\tilde{f}_i(\Lambda') = 0$ by Proposition 3.3 (iii). Hence it is a contradiction. $\square$

Define a map $\Psi^\lambda : \mathcal{B}^\lambda \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes T_\lambda \otimes C$ by $\Lambda \longmapsto \psi^\lambda(\Lambda) \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c$.

Theorem 4.2. *The map Ψ^λ is a strict crystal embedding.*

Proof. If $\Lambda \in \text{Irr } \mathcal{L}(\lambda; \alpha)$ with $\alpha \in Q_+$, then $\psi^\lambda(\Lambda) = \Lambda_0 \in \text{Irr } \mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ and we have

$$\text{wt}(\Psi^\lambda(\Lambda)) = \text{wt}(\Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c) = \lambda - \alpha = \text{wt}(\Lambda).$$

If $i \in I^{\text{re}}$, by the definition of tensor product of crystals, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_i(\Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c) &= \max(\varepsilon_i(\Lambda_0), -\langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle), \\ \varphi_i(\Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c) &= \max(\varphi_i(\Lambda_0) + \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon_i(\Lambda) = \varepsilon_i(\Lambda_0)$, we have

$$\varphi_i(\Lambda_0) = \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = \varepsilon_i(\Lambda_0) + \langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle = \varepsilon_i(\Lambda) + \langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle = \varphi_i(\Lambda) \geq 0.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\varepsilon_i(\Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c) = \varepsilon_i(\Lambda), \quad \varphi_i(\Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c) = \varphi_i(\Lambda).$$

If $i \in I^{\text{im}}$, then

$$\varepsilon_i(\Lambda) = 0 = \varepsilon_i(\Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c), \quad \varphi_i(\Lambda) = \langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle = \varphi_i(\Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c).$$

It remains to show that Ψ^λ commutes with $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i$ ($i \in I$). By Example 1.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_i(\Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_i \Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c & \text{if } \varphi_i(\Lambda) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \varphi_i(\Lambda) = 0, \end{cases} \\ \tilde{e}_i(\Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c) &= \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i(\Lambda_0) \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}}, \varphi_i(\Lambda) \geq 0, \\ \tilde{e}_i(\Lambda_0) \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{im}}, \langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle + a_{ii} > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{im}}, \langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle + a_{ii} \leq 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If $\varphi_i(\Lambda) = 0$, then $\tilde{f}_i(\Lambda) = 0$ and hence $\Psi^\lambda(\tilde{f}_i \Lambda) = 0 = \tilde{f}_i(\Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c)$. If $\varphi_i(\Lambda) > 0$, then using the commutative diagram 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_i \Psi^\lambda(\Lambda) &= \tilde{f}_i(\Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c) = \tilde{f}_i \Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c \\ &= (\tilde{e}_i^{l+1})^{-1} \circ \tilde{e}_i^l(\Lambda_0) \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c = \psi^\lambda((\tilde{e}_i^{l+1})^{-1} \circ \tilde{e}_i^l(\Lambda)) \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c \\ &= \psi^\lambda(\tilde{f}_i \Lambda) \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c = \Psi^\lambda(\tilde{f}_i \Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\tilde{e}_i \Lambda = 0$ if and only if $\tilde{e}_i \Lambda_0 = 0$. Hence if $i \in I^{\text{re}}$ and $\varphi_i(\Lambda) \geq 0$, using the commutative diagram 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_i \Psi^\lambda(\Lambda) &= \tilde{e}_i(\Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c) = \tilde{e}_i \Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c \\ &= (\tilde{e}_i^{l-1})^{-1} \circ \tilde{e}_i^l(\Lambda_0) \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c = \psi^\lambda((\tilde{e}_i^{l-1})^{-1} \circ \tilde{e}_i^l(\Lambda)) \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c \\ &= \psi^\lambda(\tilde{e}_i \Lambda) \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c = \Psi^\lambda(\tilde{e}_i \Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ and $\langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle + a_{ii} > 0$, one can verify $\tilde{e}_i \Psi^\lambda(\Lambda) = \Psi^\lambda(\tilde{e}_i \Lambda)$. Finally, if $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ and $\langle h_i, \lambda - \alpha \rangle + a_{ii} \leq 0$, by Proposition 4.1, we have $\tilde{e}_i(\Lambda) = 0$ and hence $\Psi^\lambda(\tilde{e}_i \Lambda) = 0 = \tilde{e}_i(\Lambda_0 \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c)$, which completes the proof. $\square$

As a corollary we obtain the geometric realization of the crystal $B(\lambda)$.

Corollary 4.3. *The crystal $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ is isomorphic to the highest weight crystal $B(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{1}_\lambda$ be the unique element of $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ satisfying $\varepsilon_i(\mathbf{1}_\lambda) = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Then $\mathbf{1} := \psi^\lambda(\mathbf{1}_\lambda)$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\varepsilon_i(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ for all $i \in I$ and we have $\Psi^\lambda(\mathbf{1}_\lambda) = \mathbf{1} \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c$. Hence $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ is isomorphic to the connected component of $\mathcal{B} \otimes T_\lambda \otimes C$ containing $\mathbf{1} \otimes t_\lambda \otimes c$. Since $\mathcal{B} \cong B(\infty)$, by Proposition 1.7, we conclude $\mathcal{B}^\lambda \cong B(\lambda)$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. E. Borcherds, *Generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, J. Algebra **115** (1988), 501–512.
- [2] I. Grojnowski, G. Lusztig, *A comparison of bases of quantized enveloping algebras*, Contemp. Math. **153** (1993), 11–19.
- [3] K. Jeong, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, *Crystal bases for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **90** (2005), 395–438.
- [4] K. Jeong, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, D.-U. Shin, *Abstract crystals for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, Intern. Math. Res. Notices **2007-001** (2007), 1–18.
- [5] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, O. Schiffmann, *Geometric construction of crystal bases for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, preprint (2008), to appear in Adv. Math.
- [6] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465–516.
- [7] M. Kashiwara and Y. Saito, *Geometric construction of crystal bases*, Duke Math. J. **89** (1997), 9–36.
- [8] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 447–498.
- [9] H. Nakajima, *Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke math. J. **76** (1994), no. 2, 365–416.
- [10] Y. Saito, *Crystal bases and quiver varieties*, Math. Ann. **324** (2002), no. 4, 675–688.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
 SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SAN 56-1 SILLIM-DONG, GWANAK-GU, SEOUL 151-747, KOREA
E-mail address: sjkang@math.snu.ac.kr

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KITASHIRAKAWA,
 SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN
E-mail address: masaki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 175 RUE DU CHEVALERET,
75013 PARIS, FRANCE

E-mail address: olive@math.jussieu.fr